STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

45 Fremont Street San Francisco, California 94105

INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS REGARDING PRIVATE PASSENGER AUTOMOBILE RATES FOR THE CALIFORNIA AUTOMOBILE ASSIGNED RISK PLAN

RH02021255 July 18, 2002

INTRODUCTION

The California Insurance Commissioner will consider the application of the California Automobile Assigned Risk Plan ("CAARP") for approval of increased rates as described below. California Insurance Code Section 11624 requires the Commissioner to approve rates for those obtaining coverage through CAARP. California Code of Regulations, Title 10, Section 2421.10, entitled "Annual Review of Surcharges," requires an annual review of the rates and surcharges. Following the review, CAARP shall recommend to the Commissioner "revision of the Rate Supplement as may be indicated to the end that such rates and added surcharges shall be neither excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory." Accordingly, CAARP has now filed a rate application in which it is proposing an overall average rate increase of 40.4 percent. Further details appear in the application on file with the Commissioner and available for review.

STATEMENT OF SPECIFIC PURPOSE

California Insurance Code Section 11624 specifies that "premium charges for the plan shall not be excessive, inadequate, nor unfairly discriminatory, and shall be actuarially sound so as to result in no subsidy of the plan." California Insurance Code Section 11620 requires the Commissioner to hold a public hearing before amending the plan. The Commissioner is therefore holding this hearing to consider CAARP's rate change application.

IDENTIFICATION OF STUDIES

The application relies upon the expertise of CAARP's technical staff. However, other than the application and accompanying Explanatory Memorandum, no studies have been submitted for this proceeding.

SPECIFIC TECHNOLOGIES OR EQUIPMENT

Adoption of the proposed rate change would not mandate the use of specific technologies or equipment.

REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES

The Commissioner has not yet identified reasonable alternatives which would be as effective to carry out the statutory mandate and less burdensome to affected persons, including but not limited to affected small businesses. The Commissioner will accept public comments on alternatives.